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#### Abstract

Dimethylamino-bis(trifluoromethyl)borane. $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}(\mathrm{~A})$, undergoes $[2+3]$ cycloaddition reactions with various 1,3 dipolar species to yield five-membered heterocycles. While nitrile oxides RCNO give ( $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{RC}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Mes}$ (II), Ph (II),  $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{NR}^{2}-\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{VI}),{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (VII), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ (VIII), ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Bu}$ (IX), ${ }^{1} \operatorname{Pr}$ (X); $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ (XI). Analogously, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ yields the five-membered ring $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{S}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{O}$ (XII). The constitution of the novel boron compounds has been deduced from multinuclear NMR, IR and mass spectra. The structures of IV and XII have been investigated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.


Keynords: Boron: Dimethylamino-bis(trifluormethyl)borane; Cycloaddition reactions

## 1. Introduction

Dimethylamino-bis(trifluoromethyl)borane, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}=$ $\mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ (A), possesses chemical properties that are unique in aminoborane chemistry [1]. Owing to a balance of pronounced electrophilic character and steric protection of the boron atom, the reactivity of its strong $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}$ bond bears a degree of resemblance to an olefinic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond. In preceding contributions from our laboratory we have reported on numerous [ $2+4]$ and $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reactions of $\mathbf{A}$. Thus A combines with 1,3 unsaturated compounds of the general formula $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CR}^{3}-\mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CHR}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}\right)$ to form novel six-membered heterocycles $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{CHR}^{1-}$ $\mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CR}^{1}-\mathrm{X}$ in high yields [2]. Isocyanates and isothiocyanates $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S})$ react with A to yield two types of four-membered ring, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), \mathrm{B}=$ $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{NR}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}\left(=\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{NR}$, depending on the nature of $R$ [3].

[^0]However, no examples of $[2+3]$ cycloaddition reactions of A had been discovered previously. Such reactions of aminoboranes and the 1,3 dipolar imine oxide (nitrone) $\mathrm{PhHC}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{O}$ have alleady been studied [4], but only $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}$, gave a stable heterocyclopentane derivative, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Bm} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{PhHC}-\mathrm{NMe}-\mathrm{O}$. The cor responding cycloadduct obtained at low temperature from $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhHC}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{O}$ was found by NMR spectroscopy to be in equilibrium with the reactants according to


Since the Lewis acidity of boron in $\mathbf{A}$ in comparison with that in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ might carry reaction (1) to stable $[2+3]$ cycloadducts, we have investigated reactions of $\mathbf{A}$ with imine oxides.

To our knowledge no [ $2+3$ ] cycloaddition reactions of dialkyl or dihalo aminoboranes with nitrile oxides

R-CNO have yet been reported. Nitrile oxides are commonly accepted as reactive 1,3 dipolar reactants [5], and the high reactivity of the $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond in A prompted us to investigate its reactions with such nitrile oxides and to study the effect of fluorine substitution on the synthesis and stability of $[2+3]$ cycloadducts with nitrones.

While investigating [ $2+2$ ] cycloaddition reactions of A with various heterocumulenes ( N -sulfinyl-sulfonamides, amino-iminophosphanes, etc.), we discovered that some sulfenes reveal a behavior in agreement with a 1,3 dipolar character. The results of the reaction with sulfenes will also be reported.

## 2. Results

The aminoborane $\mathbf{A}$ reacts with the nitrile oxides RCNO according to Eq. (2) to give the corresponding novel five-membered heterocycles $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-$ $\overline{\mathrm{RC}}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ (I-V) in yields ranging from 29 (III) to $78 \%$ (I). Except for $\mathbf{R}=$ Mes, all the above-mentioned nitrile oxides readily dimerize; therefore they were prepared at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in situ by abstraction of HCl from the corresponding hydroximic acid chlorides $\mathrm{RCIC}=\mathrm{NOH}$ using triechylamine. The modest yields of the heterocyclopentenes II-V are probably due to competitive sidereactions involving auto-dimerization of the nitrile oxides.


R=Mes (I), Ph (II), ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (III), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Bu}$ (IV), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Pr}$ (V) In contrast to the nitrile oxides, the imine oxides $R^{\prime} \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}^{\left(R^{2}\right)}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$, ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu} .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}, \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}$ are stable at room temperature. They react readily with $A$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in pentane solution to yield the heterocyclopentanes (VI-XI)

 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}$ (IX), ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{X})$;
$R^{2}=R^{1}=P h(X I)$
These were obtained in much better yields ( $70-80 \%$ ).
Somewhat unexpectedly, the dimethyl methylene sulfone $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ also behaved as a 1,3 dipolar reactant
and readily gave a $[2+3]$ cycloaddition product (XII) according to Eq. (4). This was isolated in a $63 \%$ yield.


However, the related methylene sulfones $\mathrm{PhHC}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{MeHC}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ did not react cleanly with A, but rather produced black tarry material which could not be characterized.

## 3. Properties and spectra

The novel boron heterocycles I-XII are colorless solids, the melting points of which are reported in the Experimental section. Compounds I-V and XII are stable to air and moisture and soluble in polar organic solvents, while species VI-XI are sensitive to hydrolysis and therefore have to be handled in a dry atmosphere. They are also thermally less stable than I-V, decomposition occurring between 70 and $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In particular IX and X, which contain bulky electron donating ' Bu and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Pr}$ groups, apparently have a labile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ bond. Indeed, the mass spectrum of IX mainly contains fragment ions of decomposition products.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{XII}$ were recorded. The chemical shifts, which are set out in Table 1, are consistent with the proposed structures, and only a few comments will be necessary. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances of the $\mathrm{CE}_{3}$ groups are not detectable due to quadrupole broadening by the boron atom. Compounds VI-XII have an asymmetric ring atom which should cause a splitting of the NMR signals both of the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $B\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ groups. While only VI-VIII and XII show this expected splitting, the resonances of IX and $\mathbf{X}$ are just broadened. The $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group of compound VIII reveals five ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances in spectra recorded at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This indicates hindered rotation of the pentafluorophenyl group. However, attempts to determine the barrier to rotation by high temperature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy failed due to the thermal instability of VIII.

The constitution of compound XII as a five-membered ring follows from the NMR spectra. The fact that $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ reacts as a 1,3 dipolar species was unexpected because it is well known that sulfenes undergo $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reactions, e.g. with enamines [6]. However, the two possible four-membered heterocycles $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{B-NMe}}{2}-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2^{-}}}$ $\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$, as well as the five-membered isomer
$\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{CMe}}{ }_{2}$, can be excluded by the following arguments. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal at 89.4 ppm assigned to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is sharp; therefore this carbon atom cannot be bonded to boron, thus ruling out the latter two structures. The splitting of the $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ and
$\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ signals, both in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra, and the two fluorine resonances at -65.5 and -66.4 ppm exclude a symmetrically substituted planar four-membered ring. Moreover, one of the $\mathrm{CCH}_{5}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals at 20 ppm appears as a quartet with a ${ }^{5} J(\mathrm{CF})$ coupling

Table 1
NMR spectral data for I-XII ( $\delta$ in ppm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$


${ }^{3}$ I-III, $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{VII}$ and IX-XII in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, IV in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, VIII in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 250.13 \mathrm{MHz}$, internal standard $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 7.27 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CHDCl}_{2} 5.35 \mathrm{ppm}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{HCN} 1.95 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}$, internal standard $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 53.8 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN} 1.30 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}: 84.67 \mathrm{MHz}$, internal standard $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}: 25.52 \mathrm{MHz}$, extemal standard $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$.


Fig. 1. Fragmentation of compounds I-V.
constant of 5 Hz . This large long range coupling is further proof of the proposed constitution of XII, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{S}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{O}$. This constitution is also supported by the mass spectral data (vide infra).

El mass spectral data for I-XII are listed in Table 2. The peaks of the molecular ions $\mathrm{M}^{+}$are generally weak if at all detectable, but the ions $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right]^{+}$and $[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right]^{+}$are indicative of the molecular mass. The fragmentation patterms of the heterocyclopentenes 1-V on the one hand and the pentanes VI-XI on the other hand are significantly different. While the cycloadducts I-V fracture at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (Fig. 1) to form the characteristic fragments assigned as $\left[\mathrm{FOBN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$and $[\mathrm{RCN}]^{+}$, the fragmentation of VI-XI is more complicated. Here the characteristic ions are $\left[\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}^{+},\left[\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{CNOMe}\right]^{+}\right.$, $\left[\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{CNMe}\right]^{+}$ and the ion $m / e 92$, which is assigned to $\left[\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{BN}\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}$.

The base peak of compound XII is $m / e 86$, which is assigned to the fragment $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. A further characteristic ion in the mass spectrum of XII is $m / e 90$, which can be ascribed to $\left[\mathrm{FOBN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. The appearance of these two frugments, and the absence


Fig. 2. A perspective drawing of IV with $20 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids except for the hydrogen atoms.
of infrared bands which can be assigned to an $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group, strongly support the proposed constitution $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{S}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{O}$ of XII.

The infrared spectra of compounds I-V show the characteristic ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ) stretching vibrations between 1600 and $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, while XII has a strong absorption at $1002 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which might be attributed to an ( $\mathrm{S}=0$ ) stretching mode.

## 4. Description of the crystal structures of IV and XII

The X-ray studies confirm the proposed structures. Thus IV contains a five-membered ring (Fig. 2) which exhibits $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)(1.264(3) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1.411(2)$

Table $z$
Selected electron impact mass spectral data in order of decreasing intensity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{c}$ (relative intenaity ( C ) ) [fragment|') for $1=$ XII
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Table 3
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in IV

| B-C(8) | $1.624(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.532(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.628(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.535(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.612(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.531(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.443(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $1.336(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.503(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $\because 341(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.514(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | $1.350(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.497(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{F}(4)$ | $1.347(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.264(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{F}(5)$ | $1.350(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.411(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{F}(6)$ | $1.355(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.518(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $109.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $106.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $114.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $117.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ | $110.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $107.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $111.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $111.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ | $110.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $107.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ | $100.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(9)$ | $111.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $97.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $126.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $111.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $121.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $115.7(2)$ |  |  |

$\AA$ ) bond lengths typical of an oxime fragment incapable of $\pi$-conjugation to its neighbours - average values in such cases are reported as $1.281(13)$ and $1.416(6) \AA$ respectively [7]. Furthermore, in agreement with a double bond between the $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)$ atoms, the $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}(1)$, $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ fragment is planar to within $\pm 0.029$ $\AA$. The boron atom lies $0.556(3) \AA$ from this plane, the ring being folded along the $N(1) \cdots O$ tie-line by $33.2(4)^{\circ}$. Adoption of the envelope conformation opens the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ torsion angles to $31.2(4)$ and $-31.6(4)^{\circ}$ respectively. The former torsion combines with deviations in the bond angles of the $B$ and $N(1)$ atoms from the tetrahedral value (Table 3) to reduce steric crowding involving their exocyclic substituents. However, puckering shortens the contacts between the methyl carbon $\mathrm{C}(7)$ and the ' Bu group. Here steric repulsion is relieved by opening the $\mathrm{C}(1)$ -$N(1)-C(7)$ and $N(1)-C(1)-C(2)$ angles to $117.3(2)$ and $126.9(2)^{\circ}$, gearing $C(7)$ berween the $C(3)$ and $C(5)$


Fig. 3. A perspective drawing of XII with $20 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids except for the hydrogen atoms.

Table 4
Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in XII

| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.646(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.849(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.627(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.514(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.643(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.527(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.470(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $1.357(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.543(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $1.337(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.516(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | $1.343(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.509(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{F}(4)$ | $1.341(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.590(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{F}(5)$ | $1.347(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.452(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{F}(6)$ | $1.357(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $106.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $104.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ | $114.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ | $118.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $108.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $110.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ | $115.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $92.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $107.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{OC} 2)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $107.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $104.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ | $103.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $106.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $114.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $111.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $112.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $113.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $109.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $109.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $105.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $111.4(3)$ |

atoms and tilting the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis of the ' Bu group away from the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(1)(1.612(3) \AA)$ and B-O (1.443(3) $\AA$ ) bond distances in IV do not differ significantly from the corresponding distances in the six-membered ring $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NEt}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-$ $\overline{\mathrm{CMe}-\mathrm{O}}$ (1.64(1) and 1.45(1) $\AA$ respectively [2]). That these values are significantly shorter than the analogous bond lengths in the five-membered ring $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \overparen{\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NMM}_{2}{ }^{-}}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ (1.686(2) and $1.470(2) \AA$ respectively [8]) can be attuibuted in part to the inductive effect of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups.

The heterocycle of XII (Fig. 3) possesses a twist conformation with the boron atom on the pseudo-twofold axis. Although the endocyclic bond angles of the B, N and $\mathrm{O}(1)$ atoms in XII are 4.8(4), 8.8(2) and $11.0(3)^{\circ}$ larger than the corresponding angles in IV, the $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distances in XII (Table 4) exceed their counterparts in IV by $0.031(5), 0.040(4)$ and $0.027(4) \AA$ respectively. This bond lengthening might be attributed in part to steric repulsions between the exocyclic substituents. It should be noted in this connection that both the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}$ and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles have been opened at the expense of the $O(1)-\mathrm{B}-$ C and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}$ angles, which are on average $6^{\circ}$ smaller.

## 5. Discussion

The observed $[2+3]$ cycloaddition reactions of $\mathbf{A}$ with nitrile oxides are, to our knowledge, the first involving aminoboranes to be reported. We point out, however, that some iminoboranes $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{B} \equiv \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ar}$ have been shown to react analogously with nitrile oxides to

Table 5
Crystal data and refinement details for IV and XII

|  | IV | XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 292.0 | 299.0 |
| Space group | P2 $\mathbf{1}^{1} \mathrm{C}$ | P2 $1_{1} / n$ |
| $a(\mathcal{A})$ | 8.614(2) | 7.8320(6) |
| $b$ ( ${ }_{\text {A }}$ ) | 13.074(3) | 11.314(1) |
| $c(A)$ | 12.265(3) | 14.068(1) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 103.36(2) | 98.165(7) |
| 2 | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{c}\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.443 | 1.610 |
| ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{(8)}$ | 23 | 22 |
| $\lambda(\mathbb{A})$ | 0.71073 | 1.54184 |
| 20 -limits ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 4-50 | 2-138.2 |
| Measured reflections | 5204 | 2745 |
| Unique reflections | 2367 | 2301 |
| Observed ( $F_{0} \geq 4 \sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ ) | 1752 | 1946 |
| Monitor correction | 1.00-0.89 | 0.987-1.064 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.38 \times 0.44 \times 0.49$ | $0.25 \times 0.32 \times 0.46$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.11 | 3.05 |
| Transmission | 0.944-0.971 | 0.377-0.539 |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ | 0.047 | 0.051 |
| $\boldsymbol{w}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.049 | 0.1143 |
| $\Delta \rho\left(\chi^{*}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | 0.22 | 0.38 |
| Parameters | 192 | 172 |

$R=\sum| | F_{0}\left|=\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{0}\right|$ for observed reflections only.
${ }^{6}$ For $\left[V W R=\left[\Sigma \omega\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{0}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right.$ with the sum over the observed reflections, and for X1I wR $=\left[\sum_{w}\left(F_{o}^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.F_{6}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w_{6}^{2}\right]^{1 / b}$ with the sum over all reflections.
form five-membered heterocycles [9]. The thermal as well as hydrolytic stabilities of I-V are surprisingly high. Although the cycloadducts VI=XI obained from the nitrones are less stable than the latter, their stability still significantly exceeds that of the two examples mentioned [4]. Presumably the induetive effect of the two $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group, bonded to boron is responsible for this favorable stabilization.

The reaction of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C} m \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ with A is indeed unique, not only because the reactions of the unsubstituted and monosubstituted sulfenes $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{MeHC}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhHC=} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ do not lead to tractable products, but also because formation of the five-membered ring in XII suggests a 1,3 dipolar addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to A . To our knowledge, such a reactivity is unprecedented for methylene sulfones, which are known to undergo [2+2] cycloaddition reactions with enamines - the mode of addition indicating $\delta-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S} \delta+$ polarity [6]:


That analogous formation of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{B-} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CMe}_{3}$ is not observed might be due to steric crowding of the substituents of such a four-membered ring. The
oxygen of the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group, being sterically less demanding, can coordinate to the Lewis acidic boron atom of A. Thereby an inverse polarity $\delta+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}(=0)-\mathrm{O} \delta-$ is created that makes $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ suited to enter as 1,3 dipolar species into a $[2+3]$ cycloaddition reaction. The sterically less crowded sulfenes $\mathrm{RHC}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H}$, might well add to A in the common way, with a $\delta-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S} \boldsymbol{\delta}+$ polarization of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond to form the cyclic sulfonamides $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}-$ $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{HR}$ in a $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction. Although a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture obtained from $A$ and $\mathrm{MeHC}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ shows a broad signal at 50 ppm which can be ascribed to a carbon atom bonded to boron in a $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{CHMe}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ fragment, the species giving rise to this resonance could not be identified. Therefore speculation on products and reaction mechanisms seems not to be warranted at present.

## 6. Experimental

### 6.1. X-ray structural determination

Crystals of IV and XII grew from their respective chloroform solutions and were mounted in glass capillaries. X-ray measurements on IV were made with a Siemens-AED-1 diffractometer using Zr filtered $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, while data on XII were gathered with a Siemens P3 diffractometer equipped with a Cu tube and a graphite monochromator. Intensities were collected using 20 $=\omega$ sean techniques, Crystal data are listed in Table 5. The structure of IV was solved using the program SHELXS-86 [10] and refined on $F$ with SHELX. 76

Table 6
Positional and equivalent isoropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) a for the non-hydrogen atoms of IV

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | : | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F(I) | 1.2017(2) | 0.3065(1) | $0.2647(1)$ | 0.1072 (8) |
| F(2) | 1.1601(2) | 0.4319(1) | 0.1529(2) | $0.1078(8)$ |
| $F(3)$ | 1.1621(2) | $0.4538(2)$ | 0.3266(2) | 0.1268(9) |
| F(4) | $0.6693(2)$ | 0.4741 (1) | $0.1865(2)$ | 0.0998(8) |
| F(5) | 0.8760(2) | $0.5558(1)$ | $0.1654(2)$ | $0.1076(8)$ |
| $F(6)$ | 0.8554(2) | $0.5099(1)$ | 0.3299(1) | $0.1106(8)$ |
| 0 | 0.8837(2) | 0.2974(1) | $0.2945(1)$ | 0.0670(6) |
| N(1) | 0.8456(2) | $0.3106(1)$ | $0.0996(1)$ | 0.0447(6) |
| N(2) | 0.7399(2) | 0.2485(1) | 0.2419(1) | $0.0631(7)$ |
| C(1) | $0.7120(2)$ | 0.2571 (1) | $0.1365(2)$ | 0.0462(7) |
| C(2) | 0.5605(3) | $0.2144(2)$ | $0.0617(2)$ | 0.0603(9) |
| C(3) | $0.5936(3)$ | $0.1436(2)$ | -0.0299(2) | 0.076(1) |
| C(4) | 0.4765(4) | $0.1507(2)$ | 0.1362(3) | 0.095(1) |
| C(5) | 0.4484 (3) | $0.3011(2)$ | 0.0092(3) | $0.091(1)$ |
| C(6) | 0.9567(3) | $0.2278(2)$ | 0.0765(2) | 0.0651(9) |
| C(7) | $0.8017(3)$ | 0.3766(2) | -0.0027(2) | 0.0655(9) |
| C(8) | 1.1114(3) | 0.3900(2) | $0.2391(2)$ | $0.076(1)$ |
| C(9) | 0.8292(3) | 0.4776(2) | 0.2223(2) | 0.075(1) |
| B | 0.9205(3) | 0.3697(2) | 0.2152(2) | 0.0542(9) |

Table 7
Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)^{a}$ for the non-hydrogen atoms of XII

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | z | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | $0.01622(11)$ | 0.20694(6) | 0.32094(5) | 0.0628(3) |
| F(1) | -0.0388(3) | 0.2807(2) | -0.01656(14) | 0.0996(8) |
| F(2) | -0.1314(3) | 0.1500(2) | $0.07295(14)$ | $0.0850(6)$ |
| F(3) | $0.1206(3)$ | 0.1332(2) | 0.0348(2) | 0.1064(8) |
| F(4) | 0.3708(3) | 0.4053(2) | $0.1997(2)$ | 0.1167(10) |
| F(5) | 0.3908(3) | 0.2478(2) | 0.1208(2) | 0.1289(11) |
| F6) | 0.2689 (3) | 0.4015(2) | 0.0517(2) | 0.1257(10) |
| O(1) | $0.1339(2)$ | $0.2021(2)$ | 0.23777(14) | 0.0560(5) |
| O(2) | -0.1476(3) | 0.1488(2) | 0.2900(2) | $0.0810(7)$ |
| N | -0.0299(3) | 0.3858(2) | 0.1956(2) | 0.0445(5) |
| C(1) | -0.0207(4) | 0.3676 (2) | 0.3049(2) | $0.0585(7)$ |
| C(2) | 0.0094(5) | 0.2125(3) | 0.0620(2) | $0.0657(8)$ |
| C(3) | 0.2807(4) | 0.3361(3) | $0.1332(3)$ | 0.0817(11) |
| C(4) | -0.2149(3) | 0.3716 (3) | 0.1480(2) | 0.0633(8) |
| C(5) | 0.0153(4) | 0.5111(2) | 0.1723(2) | 0.0656(9) |
| C(6) | -0.1834(6) | 0.4024(4) | 0.3445(3) | 0.0943(13) |
| C(7) | $0.1382(6)$ | 0.4264(3) | 0.3608(3) | 0.0991 (14) |
| B | 0.0971 (4) | 0.2859(3) | 0.1576(2) | 0.0494(7) |

${ }^{\text {an }}$ See Table 6.
[11] using only the observed reflections. Structural calculations on XII were made with the SHELXTL program package, refinement being made on $F^{2}$ using all unique reflections. In both structures the positions of the methyl hydrogen atoms were idealized with allowance for departure from the staggered conformation. The final coordinates of the non-hydrogen atoms of IV and XII are listed in Tables 6 and 7 respectively [12].

### 6.2. Preparation of $I-X I I$

6.2.1. 5.5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-4.4-dimethyl-3-mesiryl-1. oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopent-2-ene (I)

To a stirred solution of $1.61 \mathrm{~g}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of MesCNO in 10 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise 1.93 g ( 10 mmol) of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature as
stirring was continued for 30 min . The solvent and other volatile by-products were removed in vacuo at 0.1 mbar and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. y old $2.76 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$, m.p. $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ $1623 \mathrm{mb} ; \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1119$ vs, $1100 \mathrm{vs}, 1097$ vs.
6.2.2. 5,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-phenyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopent-2-ene (II), 5,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-p-chlorophenyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacylopent-2-ene (III), 5,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-t-butyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopent-2-ene (IV), 5,5-bis(trifluor-omethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-i-propyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopent-2-ene ( $V$ )

General procedure. To a stirred solution of 8 mmol of the corresponding hydroximic acid chloride R $\mathrm{ClC}=\mathrm{NOH}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5},{ }^{1} \mathrm{Bu},{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)$ in 50 ml ether was added 8 mmol of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as stirring was continued for 1 h . The reaction mixture was filtered at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then 8 mmol of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ was added dropwise to the filtrate under stirring. The solvent and other volatile by-products were removed at 0.1 mbar and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

II (yield $44 \%$ ), m.p. $86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) 1653$ $\mathrm{m} ; \boldsymbol{\nu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1127$ vs, 1102 vs.

III (yield $29 \%$ ), m.p. $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ $1653 \mathrm{~m} ; \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1104$ vs, 1099 vs.

IV (yield $51 \%$ ), m.p. $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): ~ \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ 1602 w; $\nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1113$ vs, 1094 vs.

V (yield $34 \%$ ), m.p. $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) 1622$ $w_{i} \boldsymbol{\nu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1108$ vs, 1092 vs.
6.2.3. 5,5-Bis(triffuoromethyl)-2,4,4 - trimethyl-3-phenyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (VI). 5.5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-2,4,4-trimethyl-3-p-chlorophenyl- /-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (VII), 5,5. bis(riffuoromethyl)-2,4,4-trimethyl-3-pentafluorophen-yl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (VIII), 5,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-2,4,4-trimethyl-3-t-butyl- ]-oxa-

Table 8
Elemental analyses

| Compound | Formula | Anal. Found(Calc.) (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N |
| I | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 47.1(47.49) | 5.0(4.84) | $8.1(7.91)$ |
| II | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 41.4(42.34) | $3.8(3.55)$ | 8.8(8.98) |
| III | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 36.7(38.13) | $3.2(2.91)$ | $7.9(8.09)$ $9.7(9.59)$ |
| IV | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $37.0(37.02)$ | 5.2(5.18) | 9.7(9.59) |
| V | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 34.6(34.56) | 4.6(4.71) | 10.1(10.08) |
| V1 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 43.6(43.93) | $4.7(4.61)$ $3.9389)$ | $8.5(8.54)$ $7.7(7.73)$ |
| VII | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BCIF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 39.4(39.76) | $3.9(3.89)$ | $7.787 .73)$ $6.6(6.70)$ |
| VIII | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BF}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $34.4(34.48)$ $369(38.99)$ | $2.6(2.41)$ $6.1(6.22)$ | 6.666.70) $9.0(9.09)$ |
| IX | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 36.9(38.99) | 6.1(6.22) | $9.009 .09)$ $8.9(9.52)$ |
| X | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 36.9(36.76) | $6.1(5.83)$ $4.0(4.04)$ | $8.9 \times 9.52)$ $4.6(4.68)$ |
| XII | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BF}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 28.0(28.12) | 4.0(4.04) | 4.6(4.68) |

2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (IX), 5,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)-2,4,4-trimethyl-3-i-propyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (X), 5.5-bis(tri-fluoromethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2,3-diphenyl-1-oxa-2-aza-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane (XI)

General procedure. To a stirred solution of 10 mmol of the respective nitrone in 30 ml of pentane was added dropwise 10 mmol of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cycloadducts VI-XI precipitated and were recrystallized from dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

VI (yield $80 \%$ ), dec. $\sim 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1103 vs. 1088 vs.

VII (yield $76 \%$ ), dec. $\sim 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1110 vs, 1105 vs.

VIII (yield $67 \%$ ), dec. $\sim 94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\boldsymbol{\nu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1090 sb .

IX (yield $75 \%$ ), dec. $\sim 71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1099 sb.

X (yield 73\%), dec. $\sim 71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1099 sb.

XI (yield 73\%), dec. $\sim 81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ 1095 sb.
6.2.4. 5.5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-3,3,4,4-tctramethyl-1-oxa-2-thia-4-azonia-5-boratacyclopentane-2-oxide (XII)

To a stirred solution of 15 mmol of triethylamine and 10 mmol of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BNMe}_{2}$ in 30 ml of dry ether was added dropwise 10 mmol of ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{PrSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued for 30 min , and all the volatile material removed in vacuo at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was suspended in 30 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and the ammonium salt removed by extraction with three 10 ml portions of water. The solvent $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was evaporated in vacuo and XI sublimed at 0.1 mbar and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield ( $63 \%$ ).

XII, m.p. $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 1124$ vs, 1089 vs; $\nu(S=0) 1002 \mathrm{~s}$.

For elemental analyses see Table 8.
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